

A few days ago I was surprised by an intervention in the <u>ISO 30300 Linkedin group</u> stating that **MoReg2 is**

re-appearing against MoReq2010

, and this would be very useful since is aligned with ISO 15489 (and therefore with the ISO 30 300), unlike MoReq2010.

I didn't remember having read anything that would suggest that MoReq2010 was not aligned with ISO standards, but I returned again to the 500 pages of the specification and also listen to the interesting conversations between <u>Jon Garde and James Lappin in "Musing over</u> <u>MoReq2010"</u>. In this way I form my own opinion:

- Bearing in mind that any version of MoReq focuses on the functionality of records systems, in which records processes and controls described in ISO 15489 and Annex A of ISO 30301 should be implemented, an explanation of the meaning of "be aligned" is needed. To me it means that MoReq2010 requirements and functionality can implement records processes and controls of the ISO 15489. In this sense, I think **MoReq2010 is perfectly aligned with ISO standards.**

- The ISO 15489 published in 2001, has been further developed in other standards and

technical reports that cover some aspects in more depth. Of particular importance are the three parts of ISO 23081 - Metadata for records. I find that MoReq2010, with an approach to metadata much more flexible and based on the concept of entities, **is much more aligned with ISO 23081 than MoReq2**

- **MoReq2010 breaks with the unique model of implementation, the EDRM**S, which according with the development of information management in organizations is beginning to show some mismatches needed to be solved. Records and evidences are not always digital objects which can be managed by an EDRMS and records manager are fighting to implement expensive technologies that require programming and customization to fit the needs of the organization. MoReq solution is smart, because without discarding the EDRMS model, opens the way for other models not encouraging a certain and specific technological model.

- MoReq2010, following a common trend observable in the standardization and legislation for the digital environment, is based more on wishful thinking rather than the standardization of everyday practice. There are not records systems on the market, different from the traditional EDRMS, which meet the specification MoReq2010.

Probably one of the desired effects is developers to use MoRe2010 as an inspiration for their new products, but this will not have an immediate result. Only time will tell whether it has succeeded promoting R & D and putting on the market products with different approaches. In this regard, will be interesting to see the strength of an European specification on a market dominated by U.S. multinationals, where remains in force DoD50.15 increasingly away from MoReq2010 approaches.

- Regardless of how hard it is to read 500 pages and the structure needed for the purpose of certification, in my view **MoReq 2010 has some important hits**. Hopefully developments based on this new vision will be available for implementation.